arXiv:1602.08392v2 [math.AG] 29 Dec 2016

INVARIANTS OF PAIRS IN $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})$ AND $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$

KRISHNENDU GONGOPADHYAY AND SEAN LAWTON

Abstract. We describe a minimal global coordinate system of order 30 on the $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})$-character variety of a rank 2 free group. Using symmetry within this system, we obtain a smaller collection of 22 coordinates subject to 5 further real relations that determine conjugation classes of generic pairs of matrices in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$.

1. Introduction

There is a long tradition of work whose goal is to classify pairs of elements in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ in connection with Fuchsian and Kleinian groups. The study goes back to the work of Vogt [Vog89] and Fricke [Fri96] who proved that a non-elementary free two-generator subgroup is determined up to conjugation by the traces of the generators and their product. This result was incremental in the development of Teichmüller theory, and in particular, it was used to provide Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates on Teichmüller space. For an up to date exposition of this work see Goldman [Gol09].

In an attempt to define analogous Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates for complex hyperbolic quasi-Fuchsian representations of surface groups, Parker and Platis [PP08] proved a generalization of the result of Fricke-Vogt for two-generator subgroups $\langle A, B\rangle$ in $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ with loxodromic generators. Parker and Platis followed an approach that uses traces of the generators and a point on the cross-ratio variety. In another approach, Will [Wil06, Wil09] proved that a free two-generator Zariski dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ is determined by traces of the generators and the traces of three more compositions of the generators. For a survey of these results see Parker [Par12]. The starting point of Will's work is the results of Wen [Wen94] and Lawton [Law07] which provide a minimal generating set for the moduli space of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-representations of a rank 2 free group F_2. Let $\langle A, B\rangle$ denote the discrete, free subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ generated by A and B. To give Fenchel-Nielsen type coordinates on the $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$-character variety of F_2, one should obtain a set of conjugation invariant quantities that generically determine $\langle A, B\rangle$ up to conjugation.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D20, 20H10 (Primary) 20C15, 14L30, 20E05, 30F40, 15B57, 51M10 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Character variety, 4×4 invariants, complex hyperbolic space, two-generator subgroup, traces.

As shown in [Law07], the space of $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-representations of F_2 is a branched double cover of $\mathbb{C}^8$, and as shown in [FL09] this moduli space is homotopic to a topological 8-sphere. The nine minimal inverse symmetric trace coordinates described in [Law07], along with the fact that $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ matrices A have their inverses conjugate to the complex conjugate-transpose of A, directly shows that the moduli space of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$-representations of F_2 is determined by exactly 5 of the 9 coordinates from the complex case. Since the full relation was determined in these symmetric terms in [Law07], further reduction to the sign of the 5-th trace coordinate, the trace of the commutator of A and B, is possible (see [Par12]). Similar results hold for $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-representations of F_2, see [FL09, Section 6.5].

Things become more complicated in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. The approach of Will is difficult to adapt in this setting. A reason is that the minimal generating set for the moduli space of $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})$-representations of F_2 consists of 30 complex trace-invariants as shown in Theorem 3.4 below. This follows from work of Drensky and Sadikova [DS06], and Djokovich [Đok07]. The full set of relations between these trace-invariants is not known. However, using symmetry as done in [Law07], we obtain, similar to the cases of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, a reduction from the 30 minimal trace coordinates described in Corollary 3.6 to a collection of 22 complex trace coordinates subject to 5 real relations. This results in 39 real coordinates that appear to be unshortenable (see Definition 3.1). We also show that the smallest possible collection of such real coordinates is 30. These coordinates determine any $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ representation of F_2 having a closed conjugation orbit (polystable representation); including Zariski-dense pairs in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. This is the content of Theorem 4.2.

Using geometric methods similar to the construction of classical Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates and that of Parker-Platis [PP08], a minimal set of 15 real invariants for generic pairs of *loxodromic* elements in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ was obtained in [GP15]. Let $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$ be three dimensional complex hyperbolic space where $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ acts by isometries. A pair of loxodromic elements in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ is called *reducible* if it preserves a totally geodesic subspace of $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$. The subgroup $\langle A, B\rangle$ is called reducible if the pair (A, B) is reducible. Our final theorem proves that reducible two generator subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ generated by loxodromic pairs (A, B) are determined by at most 11 real coordinates (see Theorem 4.6).

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge support from U.S. National Science Foundation grants: DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 "RNMS: GEometric structures And Representation varieties" (the GEAR Network). Gongopadhyay acknowledges partial support from NBHM India, Grant NBHM/R.P. 7/2013/Fresh/992. Lawton was partially supported by grants from the Simons Foundation (Collaboration #245642) and the U.S. National Science Foundation (DMS #1309376), and acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0932078000 while he was in residence

at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Spring 2015 semester. We thank Shiv Parsad for helpful conversations early in this project, and Lawton thanks Chris Manon for helpful references. Lastly, we thank an anonymous referee whose suggestions helped improve the readability of the paper.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Character Varieties.** Let $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r \mid R \rangle$ be a finitely generated discrete group (with relations R) and G a connected Lie group. The set of homomorphisms $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ naturally sits inside the product space G^r via the evaluation mapping $\rho \mapsto (\rho(\gamma_1), ..., \rho(\gamma_r))$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ inherits the subspace topology. Define $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)^*$ to be all ρ in $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ such that the conjugation orbit of ρ is closed. Such points are called polystable. The G-character variety of Γ is then the conjugation orbit space $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma, G) := \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)^*/G$. When G is a complex reductive affine algebraic group, $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)$ is an affine variety (cut out of the product variety G^r by the words in R). Then by [FL14, Theorem 2.1], $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma, G)$ is homeomorphic to the geometric points (with the Euclidean topology on an affine variety) of the Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT) quotient $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/\!\!/G := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G)$, where $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]^G$ is the ring of G-invariant polynomials in the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)]$. Moreover, by [FLRar, Proposition 3.4] the GIT quotient with this topology is homotopic to the non-Hausdorff quotient space $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, G)/G$.

When Γ is a free group F_r, as is our concern in this paper, the topology of these spaces has recently garnered significant attention. In particular, many of the homotopy groups have been computed; see [FLRar] and reference therein. In this case, the geometric structure is largely influenced by its algebraic structure, which itself owes much to a non-commutative algebra described in [Law06]. We summarize here for the reader.

Let F_r^+ be the free non-commutative monoid generated by the formal symbols $\{x_1, ..., x_r\}$, and let M_r^+ be the monoid generated by $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_r\}$, where $\mathbf{x}_k = (x_{ij}^k)$ are matrices in rn^2 indeterminates, under matrix multiplication and with identity $\mathbf{I}$ the $n \times n$ identity matrix. There is a surjection $F_r^+ \to M_r^+$, defined by mapping $x_i \mapsto \mathbf{x}_i$. Let $\mathbf{w} \in M_r^+$ be the image of $w \in F_r^+$ under this map. Further, let $|\cdot|$ be the function that takes a cyclically reduced word in F_r to its word length. Then by [Pro76, Raz74], we know the ring of invariants $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})^r]^{\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})}$ is generated by $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}) \mid w \in F_r^+,\ |w| \leq n^2\}$.

As mentioned above, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))] \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})^r]^{\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})}$, and since the determinant is conjugation invariant $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))]$ is further isomorphic to

$$(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})^r]/\Delta)^{\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})} \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})^r]^{\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})}/\Delta, \tag{1}$$

where Δ is the ideal generated by the r polynomials $\det(\mathbf{x}_k) - 1$. Since the characteristic polynomial allows one to write the determinant as a polynomial in traces of words, we conclude that $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C}))]$ is generated by $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}) \mid w \in F_r^+,\ |w| \leq n^2\}$ as well.

Let M_r^* extend the monoid M_r^+ by $\{\mathbf{x}_1^*, \mathbf{x}_2^*, ..., \mathbf{x}_r^*\}$, where $\mathbf{x}_k^*$ is the matrix whose $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$ entry is $(-1)^{i+j}$ times the determinant obtained by removing the j^{th} row and i^{th} column of $\mathbf{x}_k$. Observe that $(\mathbf{xy})^* = \mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{x}^*$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in M_r^+$, and $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* = \det(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}$. Now let $M_r = M_r^*/N_r$ where N_r is the normal sub-monoid generated by $\{\det(\mathbf{x}_k)\mathbf{I} \mid 1 \leq k \leq r\}$. Observe $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}^{-1}$ in M_r, so M_r is a group. Now let $\mathbb{C}M_r$ be the group algebra defined over $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to matrix addition and scalar multiplication in M_r. Then the trace map $\mathrm{tr} : \mathbb{C}M_r \to \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C}))]$ forms a bridge between the non-commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}M_r$ and the moduli space $\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C}))$, built in the language of free groups.

This relationship has been exploited to obtain many geometric results in the case $n = 3$. In particular, the relationship to this non-commutative algebra was used in [Law07] to completely describe the coordinate ring of $\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C}))$. As a consequence, $\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ was seen to be a branched double cover over $\mathbb{C}^8$ whose branching locus was exactly the transpose fixed representations. This in turn led to applications to real projective structures on surfaces (see [Law06]), the study of the Poisson geometry of $\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ in [Law09], and was used in [FL09] to show that $\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C}))$ is homotopic to the 8-sphere S^8.

In Section 3, we use the above general relationship to non-commutative algebra to likewise address the $n = 4$ and $r = 2$ case.

To that end, we will need results from [Đok07]. To an $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra $A = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} A_i$ one has its Hilbert-Poincaré series $h(A) = \sum_{i\geq 0}(\dim A_i)t^i$. Since $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r]^{\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})}$ is clearly graded, it makes sense to consider its Hilbert-Poincaré polynomial. In [Ter87], $h(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{C})^2]^{\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})})$ is computed and in [Ter86] a maximal algebraically independent set of parameters is described for the same case. Using this and the general fact mentioned above that $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r]^{\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})}$ is generated by traces of words in generic matrices, Djokovich (see [Đok07, Theorem 4.2]) begins with Teranishi's system of parameters and systematically augments these coordinates with new elements of the ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{C})^2]^{\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})}$ while recalculating the Hilbert-Poincaré polynomial of the subalgebras obtained until he gets the right coefficients for $h(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{C})^2]^{\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})})$. The result is a minimal generating set for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{C})^2]^{\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})}$.

In the next section we will use this generating set to obtain a minimal generating set for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))]$ using the following fact:

Proposition 2.1. [Law08, Proposition 21] *If* $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_1), ..., \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_N)\}$ *union* $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}_1^n), ..., \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}_r^n)\}$ *is a minimal generating set for* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$, *then* $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_1), ..., \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_N)\}$ *corresponds to an unshortenable generating set for* $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})^r /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$.

The proof of the above proposition essentially follows by exploiting the filtration induced by the isomorphism labeled (1) above. This allows one to show that any relation in $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ among the generating set $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_1),...,\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_N)\}$ would lift to one in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$, which does not exist by the minimality assumption.

3. $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})$ character variety of a rank 2 free group.

In this section we first prove a general result about minimal embeddings of $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})$-character varieties of free groups. Next, we determine what this dimension is for a rank 2 free group and $n = 4$. Doing so gives particularly symmetric coordinates on the character variety that can used to obtain a set of coordinates for the corresponding $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$-character variety.

Definition 3.1. *In a finitely generated ring R, given any set of generators $\mathcal{G}$, there is a smallest sub-collection $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ that generates R. Such a collection is said to be* **unshortenable**. *A* **minimal generating set** *for R is an unshortenable generating set of minimal cardinality among all unshortenable generating sets.*

The following lemma is implied by [BH93, Proposition 1.5.15], but we include a proof to be self-contained.

Lemma 3.2. *Let R be a finitely generated graded ring over a field. Then any unshortenable generating set for R is minimal.*

Proof. Let R_+ be the irrelevant ideal in R. Any generating set for R gives a spanning set for the finite dimensional vector space $R_+/(R_+)^2$, and conversely, by Nakayama's Lemma any basis for $R_+/(R_+)^2$ lifts to a generating set for R_+ and consequently R. Since the dimension of $R_+/(R_+)^2$ does not depend on any generating set the result follows. □

From Proposition 2.1, minimal generating sets for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ give unshortenable generating sets for $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$. We now show that these unshortenable sets are in fact minimal.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_1),...,\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_N)\}$ is an unshortenable generating set for $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$. Let M be the minimal cardinality of any generating set for $\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$. Then $N = M$.*

Proof. Sikora (see [PS00]) showed the $n = 2$ case (and the $n = 1$ case is trivial). We now give a proof for arbitrary n. For the remainder of this proof, let $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})$.

For any $[\rho] \in \mathfrak{X}$, by definition of the Zariski tangent space, $T_{[\rho]}\mathfrak{X} = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ associated to $[\rho]$. Fix a generating set $\mathcal{G}$ for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ and let g be its cardinality. By the Nullstellensatz the number of generators for $\mathfrak{m}$ with respect to a presentation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ determined by $\mathcal{G}$ is no greater than g. Therefore, since the dimension of the Zariski tangent space does not depend on any generating set, we have

$\dim T_{[\rho]}\mathfrak{X} \leq M$ where M is the minimal number of generators (among all generating sets) for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$.

On the other hand, by definition, $M \leq N$ where N is the minimal number of trace coordinates needed to generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$. Thus, it suffices to show there exists $[\rho_0] \in \mathfrak{X}$ so $\dim T_{[\rho_0]}\mathfrak{X} = N$. Indeed, let ρ_0 be the trivial representation (all generators map to the identity). Then the tangent space at the identity representation is $T_0\left(\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})\right)$ by [FL12, Lemma 3.16].

However, $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is exactly $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ with the r trace coordinates $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, specialized to 0. In particular, the minimal number of trace coordinates needed to generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ is exactly N since the minimal number of trace coordinates needed to generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ is $N+r$ by [Law08, Proposition 21].

The minimal number of generators for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ is the same as smallest number of trace coordinates needed to generate it by by Lemma 3.2, since $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ is graded and trace coordinates are homogeneous. Therefore, the minimum number of generators for the ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$ is N.

Finally, the maximal ideal at 0 in $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$, denoted here $\mathfrak{m}_0$, is the irrelevant ideal (consisting of all non-constant homogeneous polynomials) and by Nakayama's Lemma the minimal number of generators of that ideal (which is N by the previous paragraph and definition of the irrelevant ideal) is the same as the dimension of the cotangent space $\mathfrak{m}_0/\mathfrak{m}_0^2 = T^*_{[\rho_0]}\mathfrak{X}$. Thus, $\dim T_{[\rho_0]}\mathfrak{X} = N$, as required. □

As a corollary, if $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_1), ..., \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}_N)\}$ is a minimal number of trace generators for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C}))]$, then the smallest affine space that the moduli space $\mathfrak{X}(F_r, \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C}))$ algebraically embeds into is $\mathbb{A}^N$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ be the elements in Table 1 and $\mathcal{G}_2$ be the elements in Table 2.

Word Length	Generator
1	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y})$
2	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2)$
3	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3)$
4	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^4)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^3)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^4)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xyxy})$
6	$\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2)$

TABLE 1. $\mathcal{G}_1$

Word Length	Generator
5	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2)$
6	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$
7	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$
8	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$
9	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}),$ $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$
10	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$

TABLE 2. $\mathcal{G}_2$

Then [Đok07] (also compare [DS06]) shows that $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$ is a minimal system of 32 generators for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{C})^2/\!\!/\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})]$, where $\mathcal{G}_1$ is a system of parameters (in particular, a maximal algebraically independent set).

Theorem 3.4. *$\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^4),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^4)\}$ is a minimal system of 30 generators for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_2,\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))]$, where $\mathcal{G}_1 - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^4),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^4)\}$ is a maximal set of 15 algebraically independent elements.*

Proof. The fact that these form an unshortenable set of 30 trace generators follows from [Đok07] and [Law08, Proposition 21]. The fact that this set is minimal follows from Proposition 3.3. The fact that $\mathcal{G}_1 - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^4),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^4)\}$ is algebraically independent follows by the same reasoning employed in [Law10]; and it is maximal since the Krull dimension of $\mathfrak{X}(F_2,\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))$ is 15. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ generate $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r,\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))]$ and suppose $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{v})$ is in $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G} \cup \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{v})\} - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{v})\}$ remains a generating set as long as $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v})$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{v})$ are in the subring generated by $\mathcal{G} - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{v})\}$.*

Proof. The characteristic polynomial for $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})$ is:

$$\mathbf{x}^4 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x}^3 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)}{2}\right)\mathbf{x}^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{I} = 0.$$

Multiplying through on the left by a word $\mathbf{u}$ and on the right by $\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{v}$ for a word $\mathbf{v}$ gives:

$$\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{v} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)}{2}\right)\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v} - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{v} = 0.$$

Therefore, taking traces of both sides of this latter equation, we have that $-\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{v}) =$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{v}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{v}) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)}{2}\right)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}),$$

which proves the lemma.

We note that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}) = \frac{1}{3}\left(\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3) + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})^3 - \frac{3}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)\right)$ so that if $\mathcal{G}$ contains $\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3)\}$, then $\mathcal{G} \cup \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})\} - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3)\}$ remains a generating set. □

Let τ be the involutive outer automorphism that permutes $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ and let ι be the involutive outer automorphism that sends $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{y}^{-1}$. Clearly τ and ι act on $\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))$ and its coordinate ring.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the elements in the following table, where we weight the word length of inverse letters to be 3 (with respect to Lemma 3.5):

Word Length	Generator
1	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})$
2	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy})$
3	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^{-2})$
4	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xyxy})$
5	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2)$
6	$\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})^2), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{xy})$
7	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{xy})$
8	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{xy})$
9	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{yx}^2\mathbf{yxy}),\ \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{xyx}^2\mathbf{y})$

TABLE 3. $\mathcal{S}$

Corollary 3.6. *$\mathcal{S} \cup \tau(\mathcal{S}) \cup \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)\}$ is a minimal set of 30 generators for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))]$.*

Proof. We work from largest word length down since $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))]$ inherits a filtration from the multigrading (by degree, or equivalently by word length) on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{C})^r /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{C})]$. Denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the set $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^4), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^4)\}$, and let $\mathcal{G}(\ell)$ be the elements in $\mathcal{G}$ of word length (equivalently, filtered degree) less than or equal to ℓ. So $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(10)$.

We start by showing we can replace the generator $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ by the trace coordinate $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ to obtain a new generating set that agrees with $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{G}(9)$. We do this in two steps. First, with respect to Lemma 3.5, we can replace $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ if $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ are in the subring generated without $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ included. However, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ are all of word length less than or equal to 9. Therefore, there exists a polynomials P_1, P_2, and P_3 in the variables $\mathcal{G}(9) = \mathcal{G} - \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)\}$ so that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2) = P_1$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2) = P_2$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2) = P_3$. We conclude that we may substitute $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ to obtain an equivalent generating set.

Likewise, we can replace $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ if $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{yx}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ are in the subring generated by $\mathcal{G}(9)$. However, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^2)$ is one of these generators, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{yx}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ (similarly

$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2))$, using Lemma 3.5 in reverse, is polynomially equivalent to $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ (similarly $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$) and so is a polynomial in the variables $\mathcal{G}(9)$, as required.

Using the same reasoning, we next substitute the generator $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})$ (respectively, its image under τ) with $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})$ (respectively, its image under τ) by working in $\mathcal{G}(8)$. Working our way down in a like manner, we replace $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})$ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})$ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})$ by $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})$.

The fact that we can replace

$$\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^3), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^3\mathbf{y}^2), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^3\mathbf{x}^2)\}$$

with

$$\{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{-1}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2)\}$$

follows directly from Lemma 3.5 as stated.

It remains to prove that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^2)$ (and its image under τ) can be replaced with $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{-2})$ (respectively, its image under τ), after the above substitutions have been made. But the characteristic polynomial

$$\mathbf{y}^4 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{y}^3 + \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y})^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2)}{2}\right)\mathbf{y}^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1})\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{I} = 0$$

implies $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^2) =$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y})^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2)}{2}\right)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{-1}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{-2})$$

by multiplying through by $\mathbf{y}^{-2}\mathbf{x}$ and then taking the trace of the result. Consequently, we see the substitution is possible. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.7. *Using the relations in* [DLS09] *we can obtain non-trivial relations of degrees 12, 13, and 14 for* $\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))$*; note, that degree 12 is the minimal degree of any non-trivial relation in these coordinates.*

Remark 3.8. *In* [Ter86] *a generating set for* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{gl}(4,\mathbb{C})^2/\!/\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})]$ *was described, and likewise a generating set for* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_2, \mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C}))]$ *is given by the general results of* [Sik13]*. Neither generating set appears to be minimal however, and both result in generating sets different from ours.*

4. SU(3, 1) character variety of a rank 2 free group.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{3,1}$ be the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^4$ equipped with the Hermitian form of signature (3,1) given by

$$\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mathbf{w}^* H \mathbf{z} = z_1\bar{w}_4 + z_2\bar{w}_2 + z_3\bar{w}_3 + z_4\bar{w}_1,$$

where $*$ denotes complex-conjugate transpose. The matrix of the Hermitian form is given by

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ is the group of unit determinant matrices which preserve the Hermitian form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Each such matrix A satisfies the relation

$$A^{-1} = H^{-1}A^*H.$$

In this section, based on the work in the previous section, we reduce the coordinates needed to determine a polystable orbit of a $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ representation of F_2. This gives a global coordinate system (and semi-algebraic embedding) of the corresponding character variety.

First, however, we observe that the smallest set of real invariants we can hope for in this case consists of 30 elements.

Proposition 4.1. *Let K be a real affine algebraic group, and suppose its complexification G is connected and reductive. Then the minimal number of generators for $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, K)]$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, G)]$ are equal.*

Proof. This pretty much follows from the discussion at the beginning of Section 6 of [CFLO14].

Obviously, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, G)] := \mathbb{C}[G^r]^G \subset \mathbb{C}[G^r]^K$. On the other hand, any invariant $f \in \mathbb{C}[G^r]^K$ satisfies $k \cdot f(x) - f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G^r$ and $k \in K$, but since K is Zariski dense in G, the relation $k \cdot f(x) - f(x) = 0$ extends to $g \cdot f(x) - f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G^r$ and $g \in G$. Thus, $\mathbb{C}[G^r]^G = \mathbb{C}[G^r]^K$. Consequently, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, G)] = \mathbb{R}[K^r]^K \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, K)] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. In other words, any generating set for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, G)]$ determines a generating set for $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, K)]$, and any generating set for $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, K)]$ extends by scalars to a generating set for $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}(F_r, G)]$. The result follows. □

Theorem 4.2. *The following 22 traces determine any (polystable) pair $\langle A, B\rangle$ up to conjugation where $A, B \in \mathrm{SU}(3,1)$:*

Word Length	*Generator*
1	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y})$
2	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y})$
3	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xy}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{yx}^2)$
4	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{xyxy})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{xy})$
5	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{xy})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{yx})$
6	$\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{xy})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)$ $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{yx})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})$
7	$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{yx}^2\mathbf{yxy})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{xy}^2\mathbf{xyx})$

Proof. Since the inverse of a matrix in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ is conjugate by the $(3,1)$-form H to the complex-conjugate transpose of that matrix, we see that for any word $\mathbf{w}$ in letter from $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$, that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}^{-1}) = \overline{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w})}$. Therefore, given the ι related elements in the symmetric generating set $\mathcal{S} \cup$

$\tau(\mathcal{S}) \cup \{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}^2)\}$, we can eliminate the following six traces: $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1})$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^2)$, $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x})$, and $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$.

Therefore, it remains to show that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$ and its τ-image can be freely eliminated. Indeed, we have earlier derived that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{v}^2\mathbf{u}) =$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{v})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{v})^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{v}^2)}{2}\right)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{v}^{-1})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{-1}) - \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^{-2}),$$

and so letting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}$, we derive that: $\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2 - \mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2)}{2}\right)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}) + \\ &\quad \mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^{-1})\mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^{-1}) - \mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^{-2}) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}) - \left(\frac{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2 - \mathrm{tr}((\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})^2)}{2}\right)\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^2\mathbf{y}) + \\ &\quad \overline{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x})}\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{-1}) - \overline{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{x}^{-1}\mathbf{y}^2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly in terms of the other generators (or their complex conjugates). □

Lemma 4.3. [Gol99, Lemma 6.2.5]. *Let T be a transformation in $\mathrm{U}(n,1)$. If λ is an eigenvalue of T, then $\overline{\lambda}^{-1}$ is also an eigenvalue with the same multiplicity as that of λ.*

The above lemma implies the following useful fact.

Lemma 4.4. *Let A be an element in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. Then*

$$\sigma(A) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{tr}^2(A) - \mathrm{tr}(A^2))$$

is a real number.

Proof. The characteristic polynomial $\chi_A(x)$ of A is given by:

$$\chi_A(x) = x^4 - \mathrm{tr}(A)x^3 + \sigma(A)x^2 - \mathrm{tr}(A^{-1})x + 1.$$

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and λ_4 are the eigenvalues of A. Note that $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4 = \det A = 1$. Using the Lemma 4.3, we know for each j, there exists k such that $\lambda_j^{-1} = \overline{\lambda_k}$. So, $\mathrm{tr}A^{-1} = \overline{\mathrm{tr}}(A)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_4 + \lambda_1\lambda_4 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 \\ &= \lambda_3^{-1}\lambda_4^{-1} + \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1} + \lambda_2^{-1}\lambda_4^{-1} + \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_3^{-1} + \lambda_2^{-1}\lambda_3^{-1} + \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_4^{-1} \\ &= \overline{\lambda}_1\overline{\lambda}_2 + \overline{\lambda}_3\overline{\lambda}_4 + \overline{\lambda}_1\overline{\lambda}_3 + \overline{\lambda}_2\overline{\lambda}_4 + \overline{\lambda}_1\overline{\lambda}_4 + \overline{\lambda}_2\overline{\lambda}_3 = \overline{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.5. *There are 39 real polynomials coming from the real and imaginary parts of the traces in the above theorem that determine the class of any polystable pair in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.4 we have 5 further real relations coming from 5 pairs of invariants of the form $(\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}), \mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{w}^2))$ in the proof of Theorem 4.2. □

4.1. **Reducible pairs in** $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$**.** The holomorphic isometry group of complex hyperbolic space

$$\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}} := \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{3,1} : \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}\rangle < 0\}/\mathbb{C}^*$$

is the projective unitary group

$$\mathrm{PSU}(3,1) = \mathrm{SU}(3,1)/\{\pm\mathbf{I}, \pm i\mathbf{I}\}.$$

Based on their fixed points, holomorphic isometries of $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$ are classified as follows:

(1) An isometry is *elliptic* if it fixes at least one point of $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$.
(2) An isometry is *loxodromic* if it is non-elliptic and fixes exactly two points of $\partial\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$, one of which is attracting and other repelling.
(3) An isometry is *parabolic* if it is non-elliptic and fixes exactly one point of $\partial\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$.

In [GP15], 15 real coordinates are constructed that determine a generic pair of loxodromic elements in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. We show in this final subsection that for *reducible* loxodromic representations we can determine the conjugation class with fewer coordinates.

This is in contrast with Corollary 4.5 where 39 coordinates are shown to globally distinguish all (polystable) conjugation classes of pairs in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. The difference between these two results is the invariant theory used to establish Corollary 4.5 significantly simplifies when applied to the reducible locus.

Theorem 4.6. *Let* (A, B) *be a reducible loxodromic pair in* $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$.

(1) *Let* (A, B) *preserve a complex line in* $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$*. Then* (A, B) *is determined up to conjugacy in* $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ *by* $\mathrm{tr}(A)$, $\mathrm{tr}(B)$, $\mathrm{tr}(AB)$, $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma(B)$ *and* $\sigma(AB)$.
(2) *Let* (A, B) *preserve a complex plane in* $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$*. Then* (A, B) *is determined up to conjugacy in* $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ *by* $\mathrm{tr}(A)$, $\mathrm{tr}(B)$, $\mathrm{tr}(AB)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}B)$, $\mathrm{tr}([A, B])$, $\sigma(A)$ *and* $\sigma(B)$.

Proof. Let $G = \langle A, B\rangle$ is a reducible polystable subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ generated by loxodromic elements. Let $\mathbb{W}$ be the G-invariant subspace of $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the following cases occur:

Case 1. $\mathbb{W}$ projects to a complex line in $\mathbf{H}^3_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, it is a two dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{3,1}$ of signature $(1,1)$. Without loss of generality we consider (A, B) as a pair of elements from $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(1,1) \times \mathrm{U}(2))$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A_1, B_1 \in \mathrm{U}(1,1)$ and $A_2, B_2 \in \mathrm{U}(2)$. Note that A_1 and B_1 have eigenvalues with modulus different from one, and A_2 and

B_2 have eigenvalues of modulus one, see [GPP15]. In particular, we can determine the components from the eigenvalues.

A loxodromic element in $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$ is completely determined up to conjugacy by its characteristic polynomial, that is, by tr and σ of the element (see [GPP15, Lemma 4.1]). Hence $\mathrm{tr}(A)$, $\mathrm{tr}(B)$, $\mathrm{tr}(AB)$, $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma(B)$ and $\sigma(AB)$ completely determine the eigenvalues of A, B and AB, and consequently they determine the traces of A_i, B_i, A_i^2, B_i^2, and A_iB_i, $i = 1, 2$.

Applying the Fricke-Vogt Theorem ([Gol09, Theorem A]) we see that (A_i, B_i), $i = 1, 2$, are determined up to conjugacy in their respective components by $\mathrm{tr}(A_i)$, $\mathrm{tr}(B_i)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A_iB_i)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A_i^2)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(B_i^2)$. The result follows.

Case 2. $\mathbb{W}$ is a two dimensional totally geodesic subspace, i.e. $\mathbb{W}$ has signature $(2, 1)$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{3,1}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume (A, B) is a pair in $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(2,1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$, where A_0 and B_0 are elements of $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. It follows from [Par12, Theorem 4.8] that the $\mathrm{U}(2,1)$ component of the pair is determined by $\mathrm{tr}(A_0)$, $\mathrm{tr}(B_0)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A_0B_0)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A_0^{-1}B_0)$, $\mathrm{tr}([A_0, B_0])$, $\det A_0$ and $\det B_0$. Now $\det A_0 = \lambda^{-1}$ and $\det B_0 = \mu^{-1}$ and these are given by eigenvalues of A and B respectively. Thus $\mathrm{tr}(A), \sigma(A)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(B), \sigma(B)$ determine λ and μ completely. So, the pair (A, B) is determined up to conjugacy by $\mathrm{tr}(A)$, $\mathrm{tr}(B)$, $\mathrm{tr}(AB)$, $\mathrm{tr}(A^{-1}B)$, $\mathrm{tr}([A, B])$, $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$. □

References

[BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[CFLO14] A. Casimiro, C. Florentino, S. Lawton, and A. Oliveira. Topology of moduli spaces of free group representations in real reductive groups. *Forum Math*, DOI: 10.1515/forum-2014-0049, 2014.

[DLS09] Vesselin Drensky and Roberto La Scala. Defining relations of low degree of invariants of two 4×4 matrices. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 19(1):107–127, 2009.

[DS06] V. Drensky and L. Sadikova. Generators of invariants of two 4×4 matrices. *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, 59(5):477–484, 2006.

[FL09] Carlos Florentino and Sean Lawton. The topology of moduli spaces of free group representations. *Math. Ann.*, 345(2):453–489, 2009.

[FL12] Carlos Florentino and Sean Lawton. Singularities of free group character varieties. *Pacific J. Math.*, 260(1):149–179, 2012.

[FL14] Carlos Florentino and Sean Lawton. Topology of character varieties of Abelian groups. *Topology Appl.*, 173:32–58, 2014.

[FLRar] Carlos Florentino, Sean Lawton, and Daniel Ramras. Homotopy groups of free group character varieties. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze*, to appear.

[Fri96] R. Fricke. über die theorie der automorphen modulgrupper. *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen*, pages 91–101, 1896.

[Gol99] William M. Goldman. *Complex hyperbolic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1999. Oxford Science Publications.

[Gol09] William M. Goldman. Trace coordinates on Fricke spaces of some simple hyperbolic surfaces. In *Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. II*, volume 13 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 611–684. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009.

[GP15] K. Gongopadhyay and S. Parsad. On Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of surface group representations into $\mathrm{SU}(3,1)$. *arXiv:1411.6755*, 2015.

[GPP15] Krishnendu Gongopadhyay, John R. Parker, and Shiv Parsad. On the classifications of unitary matrices. *Osaka J. Math.*, 52(4):959–993, 2015.

[Law06] Sean Lawton. $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-*Character Varieties and* $\mathbb{RP}^2$-*Structures on a Trinion*. PhD Dissertation, University of Maryland. ProQuest, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006.

[Law07] Sean Lawton. Generators, relations and symmetries in pairs of 3×3 unimodular matrices. *J. Algebra*, 313(2):782–801, 2007.

[Law08] Sean Lawton. Minimal affine coordinates for $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$ character varieties of free groups. *J. Algebra*, 320(10):3773–3810, 2008.

[Law09] Sean Lawton. Obtaining the one-holed torus from pants: duality in an $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$-character variety. *Pacific J. Math.*, 242(1):131–142, 2009.

[Law10] Sean Lawton. Algebraic independence in $\mathrm{SL}(3,\mathbb{C})$ character varieties of free groups. *J. Algebra*, 324(6):1383–1391, 2010.

[Đok07] Dragomir Ž. Đoković. Poincaré series of some pure and mixed trace algebras of two generic matrices. *J. Algebra*, 309(2):654–671, 2007.

[Par12] John R. Parker. Traces in complex hyperbolic geometry. In *Geometry, topology and dynamics of character varieties*, volume 23 of *Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap.*, pages 191–245. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.

[PP08] John R. Parker and Ioannis D. Platis. Complex hyperbolic Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. *Topology*, 47(2):101–135, 2008.

[Pro76] C. Procesi. The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices. *Advances in Math.*, 19(3):306–381, 1976.

[PS00] Józef H. Przytycki and Adam S. Sikora. On Skein algebras and $\mathrm{Sl}_2(\mathbf{C})$-character varieties. *Topology*, 39(1):115–148, 2000.

[Raz74] Ju. P. Razmyslov. Identities with trace in full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 38:723–756, 1974.

[Sik13] Adam S. Sikora. Generating sets for coordinate rings of character varieties. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 217(11):2076–2087, 2013.

[Ter86] Yasuo Teranishi. The ring of invariants of matrices. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 104:149–161, 1986.

[Ter87] Yasuo Teranishi. Linear Diophantine equations and invariant theory of matrices. In *Commutative algebra and combinatorics (Kyoto, 1985)*, volume 11 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 259–275. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[Vog89] H. Vogt. Sur les invariants fondamentaux des équations différentielles linéaires du second ordre. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3)*, 6:3–71, 1889.

[Wen94] Zhi Xiong Wen. Relations polynomiales entre les traces de produits de matrices. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 318(2):99–104, 1994.

[Wil06] P. Will. Groupes libres, groupes triangulaires et tore épointé dans $\mathrm{PU}(2,1)$. *Ph.D. thesis University of Paris VI*, 2006.

[Wil09] Pierre Will. Traces, cross-ratios and 2-generator subgroups of $\mathrm{SU}(2,1)$. *Canad. J. Math.*, 61(6):1407–1436, 2009.

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, Knowledge City, S.A.S. Nagar, Sector 81, P. O. Manauli, Pin 140306, India
E-mail address: krishnendug@gmail.com, krishnendu@iisermohali.ac.in

Department of Mathematical Sciences, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, USA
E-mail address: slawton3@gmu.edu